arXiv:1204.5163v3 [math.CV] 11 Sep 2012

EQUIDISTRIBUTION TOWARDS THE GREEN CURRENT IN BIG COHOMOLOGY CLASSES

TURGAY BAYRAKTAR

Abstract. Let $f : X \to X$ be a dominant meromorphic map of a compact Kähler manifold and $\lambda > 1$ such that $f^*\alpha = \lambda\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{psef}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Under natural dynamical assumptions on f, we provide sufficient conditions on positive closed currents in α whose pull-backs converge to the Green current associated to the class α.

1. Introduction

Let $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a rational map of degree $\lambda \geq 2$ and ν be any probability measure on $\mathbb{P}^1$. A theorem of Brolin [Bro65], Lyubich [Lju] and Freire-Lopez-Mañé [FLM] asserts that the sequence of pre-images $\lambda^{-n}(f^n)^*\nu$ converges weakly to the measure of maximal entropy μ_f if and only if $\nu(\mathcal{E}_f) = 0$ where $\mathcal{E}_f$ is an (possibly empty) exceptional set which contains at most two points. Recall that *Green current* T_f for a dominant algebraically stable rational map $f : \mathbb{P}^k \to \mathbb{P}^k$ of degree $\lambda \geq 2$ is defined to be the limit of the sequence $\lambda^{-n}(f^n)^*\omega_{FS}$ where ω_{FS} denotes the Fubini-Study form on $\mathbb{P}^k$. In [FJ03, FJ07] , Favre and Jonsson provided a complete characterization for holomorphic maps of $\mathbb{P}^2$ stating that there exists an exceptional set $\mathcal{E}_f$ containing at most three lines and finitely many points which are totally invariant such that $\lambda^{-n}(f^n)^*S$ converges weakly to the Green current T_f if and only if the positive closed current S does not put any mass on the components of $\mathcal{E}_f$. The papers [DS08, Par] also give sufficient conditions for similar results in higher dimensions. In the case of rational mappings of $\mathbb{P}^k$, Russakovskii and Shiffman [RS97] proved that there exists a pluripolar set $\mathcal{E} \subset (\mathbb{P}^k)^*$ such that for every hyperplane $H \notin \mathcal{E}$

$$\lambda^{-n}(f^n)^*([H] - \omega_{FS}) \to 0$$

in the sense of currents, see also [DS06] for similar results when the ambient space is an arbitrary projective manifold. On the other hand, Guedj [Gue03] showed that for any positive closed $(1,1)$ current S on $\mathbb{P}^k$ with identically zero Lelong numbers $\lambda^{-n}(f^n)^*S$ converges weakly to the Green current T_f.

The main purpose of this work is to prove analogous results in the setting of meromorphic mappings of compact Kähler manifolds.

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension k and $f : X \to X$ be a dominant meromorphic map. Then f induces a linear map $f^* : H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) \to H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ which is, in general, not compatible with the dynamics of f. We say that f is *1-regular* if $(f^n)^* = (f^*)^n$ for each $n = 1, 2 \dots$ In the sequel, we assume that f is

---

Date: March 3, 2013.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37F10, 32H50, 32H04.

Key words and phrases. Meromorphic mappings, Green current, Equidistribution.

1-regular and $\lambda_1(f) > 1$ where $\lambda_1(f)$ denotes the spectral radius of f^*. Recall that a class $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is called pseudo-effective (psef) if it can be represented by a positive current, we say that α is big if it can be represented by a strictly positive current. The set of psef classes forms a salient closed convex cone. It follows from a Perron-Frobenius type argument that there exists a class $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{psef}(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f^*\alpha = \lambda_1(f)\alpha$. In general, the class α can not be represented by a smooth positive form. It follows that under a natural dynamical assumption (see Theorem 4.1) the sequence $\lambda_1(f)^{-n}(f^n)^*\theta$ converges to a positive closed current T_α for every smooth representative θ of α. The current T_α is called *Green current* associated to the class α.

An equidistribution problem in the above setting can be formulated as follows: provide necessary and sufficient conditions for a positive closed $(1, 1)$ current S on X such that the sequence of pull-backs $S_n := \lambda_1(f)^{-n}(f^n)^*S$ converge to the Green current T_α as $n \to \infty$. In this case, we say that *S equidistributes towards T_α.*

Recall that a compact Kähler manifold carries a big line bundle if and only if it is projective. Our first result indicates that if $\alpha = c_1(L)$ is the first Chern class of a finitely generated big line bundle then Green current describes the limiting distribution of pre-images of zero divisors of holomorphic sections.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : X \to X$ be a 1-regular dominant rational map and $\lambda := \lambda_1(f) > 1$ be simple eigenvalue of f^* with $f^*\alpha = \lambda\alpha$ where $\alpha = c_1(L)$ for some big line bundle $L \to X$. Assume that $L \cdot C \geq 0$ for every irreducible algebraic curve $C \subset \pi_2 \circ \pi_1^{-1}(I_f)$. If the algebra*

$$R(L) = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$$

is finitely generated then there exists $m > 0$ and a pluripolar set $\mathcal{E}_m \subset |L^{\otimes m}|$ such that for every $H \notin \mathcal{E}_m$

$$\lambda^{-n}(f^n)^*(\frac{1}{m}[H]) \to T_\alpha$$

in the sense of currents as $n \to \infty$.

If the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 holds for some positive integer m, then it also holds for any multiple of m. The positivity assumption in Theorem 1.1 ensures the existence of the Green current (see Theorem 4.2). In the special case where $X = \mathbb{P}^k$ and $L = \mathcal{O}(1)$ is the hyperplane bundle, we recover the corresponding result of [FS95] and [RS97].

Next, we consider some cases where the invariant class α can be represented by positive closed currents with mild singularities:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f : X \to X$ be a 1-regular dominant meromorphic map such that $\lambda = \lambda_1(f) > 1$ is a simple eigenvalue of f^* with $f^*\alpha = \lambda\alpha$. If $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{psef}(X, \mathbb{R})$ can be represented by a positive current with identically zero Lelong numbers then for every $S \in \alpha$ such that $\nu(S, x) = 0$ for every $x \in X$*

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^n}(f^n)^*S \to T_\alpha$$

in the sense of currents as $n \to \infty$.

Recall that any $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{big}(X, \mathbb{R}) \cap H^{1,1}_{nef}(X, \mathbb{R})$ can be represented by a positive closed current with identically zero Lelong numbers on X [DPS01, Gue04].

We also consider some cases where the invariant class is merely big. We let $\mathcal{E}^p(\alpha)$ denote the energy classes (see section 2.3 for details) of positive closed currents whose de Rham class is α.

Proposition 1.3. *Let (X, f) be as in Theorem 1.2. If $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{big}(X, \mathbb{R})$ then for every $S \in \mathcal{E}^p(\alpha)$ with $p > k-1$*

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^n}(f^n)^* S \to T_\alpha$$

in the sense of currents.

In the last part, we investigate the relationship between cohomological properties of f^*-invariant classes and the ambient space X. A theorem of Diller and Favre [DF] asserts that a bimeromorphic map f of a compact Kähler surface with $\lambda_1(f) > 1$ is bimeromorphically conjugate to an automorphism if and only if the invariant class is not big. We provide a family of birational maps f_τ of a rational threefold X_τ such that f_τ is 1-regular, $\lambda_1(f_\tau) > 1$ is simple and the corresponding normalized invariant class is not big. However, the map f_τ is not bimeromorphically conjugate to an automorphism.

Finally, we consider the problem of which meromorphic maps admit a big invariant class. We prove that in the case of dimension two, only rational maps have this property:

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a compact Kähler surface and $f : X \to X$ be a dominant meromorphic map. If $\lambda_1(f) > d_{top}(f)$ and there exists $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{big}(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f^*\alpha = \lambda_1(f)\alpha$ then X is rational.*

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = k$. We fix a Kähler form ω on X such that $\int_X \omega^k = 1$. All volumes will be computed with respect to the probability volume form $dV := \omega^k$. Let $H^{1,1}(X)$ denote the Dolbeault cohomology group and let $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ denote the de Rham cohomology groups with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$. We also set

$$H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) := H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

We say that a cohomology class α is *pseudo-effective* (psef) if α ca be represented by a positive closed $(1,1)$ current. We say that α is *big* if there exists a *Kähler current* in α that is there exists $T_+ \in \alpha$ such that $T_+ \geq \epsilon\omega$ for some small $\epsilon > 0$. By Demailly's approximation theorem [Dem92] we may assume that T_+ has analytic singularities that is locally T_+ can be written as

$$T_+ = dd^c(u + \frac{c}{2}\log\sum_{j=1}^{N}|f_j|^2)$$

where $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$, $d^c = \frac{i}{2\pi}(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$, $c > 0$, u is a smooth function and $f_j's$ are local holomorphic functions. Thus, T_+ is smooth on a Zariski open set. The *Ample locus*, $Amp(\alpha)$ of a big class α is defined to be the largest such Zariski open subset. In fact, by the Noetherian property of analytic subsets there exists a Kähler current $T_K \in \alpha$ with analytic singularities such that $Amp(\alpha) = X \setminus E_+(T_K)$ where $E_+(T_K) = \{x \in X : \nu(T_K, x) > 0\}$ and $\nu(T_K, x)$ denotes the Lelong number of T_K at $x \in X$ [Bou04]. Roughly speaking, the set $Amp(\alpha)$ is the largest Zariski open set where the class α behaves like a Kähler class. In fact, α is Kähler if and only if $Amp(\alpha) = \emptyset$ (see [Bou04] for details). The set of psef classes, $H^{1,1}_{psef}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a salient closed convex cone. The set of big classes, $H^{1,1}_{big}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is an open convex cone which coincides with the interior of $H^{1,1}_{psef}(X, \mathbb{R})$. We stress that these notions coincide with the classical ones in algebraic geometry if the ambient space is projective and $\alpha = c_1(L)$ is the first Chern class of a holomorphic line bundle [Dem92].

Let $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{psef}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and θ be a fixed smooth representative of α. An upper semi continuous function $\varphi \in L^1(X)$ is called *θ-plurisubharmonic* (θ-psh) if $\theta + dd^c\varphi \geq 0$ in the sense of currents. Notice that a θ-psh function is locally difference of a psh function and a smooth function. We denote the set of all θ-psh functions by $Psh(X, \theta)$. We say that a function φ is *quassi-plurisubharmonic* (qpsh) if φ is θ-psh for some closed smooth $(1, 1)$ form θ on X. For a given positive closed current $T \in \alpha$ and fixed smooth representative θ of α, by dd^c lemma [GH] up to a constant there exists a unique θ-psh function φ such that $T = \theta + dd^c\varphi$. The function φ is called the *global potential* of T.

2.1. **Comparison of Singularities.** Let φ_1, φ_2 be two θ-psh functions. Following [DPS01], we say that φ_1 is less singular than φ_2 if $\varphi_2 \leq \varphi_1 + O(1)$. For positive closed currents $T_1, T_2 \in \alpha$, we say that T_1 is less singular than T_2 if the global potential T_1 is less singular than the global potential of T_2 for some fixed smooth representative. A θ-psh function ψ is said to be minimally singular if for any $\varphi \in Psh(X, \theta)$ we have $\varphi \leq \psi + O(1)$. Similarly, a positive closed current T is called minimally singular in its cohomology class if so is its global potential for some fixed (or equivalently any) smooth representative for $\{T\}$. It was observed in [DPS01] that minimally singular currents always exist. Indeed,

$$V_\theta := sup\{\varphi \in Psh(X, \theta) |\ \varphi \leq 0\}$$

defines a minimally singular θ-psh function. Notice that minimally singular currents are not unique in general. However, once we fix θ there is a canonical one, namely V_θ.

2.2. **Non-pluripolar Monge-Ampère.** It is well known that wedge product of positive closed currents is not always well-defined. However, if $T_1, \dots, T_p$ are positive closed $(1, 1)$ currents with locally bounded potentials u_j's, Bedford and Taylor [BT76, BT82] proved that

$$dd^c u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c u_p$$

is a well-defined positive closed bidegree (p, p) current and depends only on the currents T_j's but not the choice of local potentials u_j's. Moreover, the wedge product is local in the plurifine topology in the sense that if v_j are psh function such that $u_j = v_j$ a.e on a plurifine open set $\mathcal{O}$ then

$$1_{\mathcal{O}} dd^c u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c u_p = 1_{\mathcal{O}} dd^c v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c v_p.$$

More recently, the authors of [BEGZ] showed that for arbitrary positive closed $(1, 1)$ currents $T_1, \dots, T_p$ on a compact Kähler manifold there is a canonical way to define a *non-pluripolar product*

$$\langle T_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge T_p \rangle$$

which is a globally well-defined positive closed (p, p) current and does not put any mass on pluripolar sets.

We say that a positive closed $(1, 1)$ current T has *small unbounded locus* if there exists a closed complete pluripolar set A such that T has locally bounded potentials on $X \setminus A$. It follows from Demailly's approximation theorem [Dem92] that if $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{big}(X, \mathbb{R})$ then there are plenty of positive closed currents in α with small unbounded locus. The non-pluripolar product is increasing in the following sense:

Proposition 2.1. [BEGZ] *Let $T_j, S_j \in \alpha_j$ be positive closed $(1, 1)$ currents with small unbounded locus such that T_j is less singular than S_j. Then the cohomology classes satisfy*

$$\{\langle T_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge T_p\rangle\} \geq \{\langle S_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S_p\rangle\}$$

in the sense that the difference can be represented by a positive closed (p, p) current.

We refer the reader to the papers [BEGZ, BBGZ] for details and further properties of non-pluripolar products.

Definition 2.2. *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in H^{1,1}_{big}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $T_j^{min} \in \alpha_j$ be a positive closed current with minimal singularities. Then the cohomology class*

$$\langle \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p\rangle := \{\langle T_1^{min} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_p^{min}\rangle\} \in H^{p,p}_{pef}(X, \mathbb{R})$$

is independent of the choice of the currents of minimal singularities $T_j^{min} \in \alpha_j$. By using continuity of $\langle \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p\rangle$ on p-tuples of big classes and monotonicity of non-pluripolar products one can extend this definition to merely psef classes by setting

$$\langle \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p\rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \langle (\alpha_1 + \epsilon\beta) \dots (\alpha_p + \epsilon\beta)\rangle$$

where β is any Kähler class on X. If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \cdots = \alpha_p$ we write $\langle \alpha^p\rangle := \langle \alpha \dots \alpha\rangle$. In particular, the non-negative number

$$vol(\alpha) := \langle \alpha^k\rangle$$

is called the volume of α.

With the above definition, a psef class α is big if and only if $vol(\alpha) > 0$ [Bou02, DP]. It follows from [Bou02] that if L is a big holomorphic line bundle and $\alpha = c_1(L)$ is the first Chern class then $vol(\alpha)$ coincides with the volume of L introduced by Fujita. Namely,

$$Vol(L) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{k!}{m^k} \dim(H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})).$$

Following [BDPP], we define the *numerical dimension* of a pseudo-effective class α by

$$\nu(\alpha) := \max\{p : \langle \alpha^p\rangle \neq 0 \text{ in } H^{p,p}(X, \mathbb{R})\}$$

Note that $0 \leq \nu(\alpha) \leq n$. Moreover, $\nu(\alpha) = n$ if and only if α is big.
Finally, we say that a positive closed current $T \in \alpha$ has *full Monge-Ampère* if

$$\int_X \langle T^k\rangle = vol(\alpha).$$

Note that this is always the case if the class α is not big.

2.3. **Energy Clases.** An *admissible weight* is a smooth convex increasing function $\chi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\chi(-\infty) = -\infty$ and $\chi(t) = t$ for $t \geq 0$. Following [BEGZ] we define χ-energy of a minimally singular θ-psh function φ by

$$E_\chi(\varphi) := \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \int_X (-\chi)(\varphi - V_\theta) \langle T^j \wedge T_{min}^{n-j} \rangle$$

where $T = \theta + dd^c\varphi$ and $T_{min} = \theta + dd^c V_\theta$. Note that if $\chi(t) = t$ then $E_\chi(\varphi)$ coincides with the Aubin-Mabuchi energy functional (up to a minus sign).
Let $\varphi \in Psh(X, \theta)$ be an arbitrary θ-psh function and $\varphi_k = \max(\varphi, V_\theta - k)$ denote the *canonical approximants* of φ then it follows from [BEGZ, Theorem 2.17] that one can define

$$E_\chi(\varphi) := \sup_k E_\chi(\varphi_k) \in (-\infty, +\infty].$$

If the right hand side is finite, we say that φ has *finite energy.*

Definition 2.3. *Given an admissible weight function χ, the set $\mathcal{E}_\chi(X, \theta)$ denotes the set of all θ-psh functions with finite energy. In particular, if $\chi(t) = -(-t)^p$ we set $\mathcal{E}^p(X, \theta) := \mathcal{E}_\chi(X, \theta)$. Moreover, we let $\mathcal{E}(X, \theta)$ denote the set of all θ-psh functions with full Monge-Ampère.*

Note that the $\mathcal{E}^p(X, \theta)$ is decreasing in the sense that $\mathcal{E}^p(X, \theta) \subset \mathcal{E}^q(X, \theta)$ whenever $1 \leq q < p$.

Proposition 2.4. [BEGZ] *Let $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{big}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $\theta \in \alpha$ be a smooth representative then*

$$\mathcal{E}(X, \theta) = \cup_\chi \mathcal{E}_\chi(X, \theta)$$

where χ ranges over all admissible weight functions.

Finally, we remark that the global potential of a positive closed current belongs an energy class is independent of the choice of smooth representative. Thus, we write $T \in \mathcal{E}^p(\alpha)$ if $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^p(X, \theta)$ where $T = \theta + dd^c\varphi$ for some smooth representative $\theta \in \alpha$.

2.4. **Monge-Ampère Capacity.** Let θ be a smooth real closed $(1, 1)$ form on X such that $\{\theta\} \in H^{1,1}_{psef}(X, \mathbb{R})$. For $\varphi \in Psh(X, \theta)$ we let $MA(\varphi)$ denote the non-pluripolar Monge-Ampère $\langle(\theta + dd^c\varphi)^k\rangle$. Following [BEGZ] we define the pre-Capacity of a Borel subset $B \subset X$

$$Cap_\theta(B) := \sup\{\int_B MA(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in Psh(X, \theta), V_\theta - 1 \leq \varphi \leq V_\theta\}.$$

Note that $0 \leq Cap_\theta(B) \leq \int_X MA(V_\theta) = vol(\alpha)$.
For a Borel set $K \subset X$ we define

$$V_{K,\theta} := sup\{\varphi \in Psh(X, \theta) : \varphi \leq 0 \text{ on } K\}.$$

Let $V^*_{K,\theta}$ denotes the upper semi-continuous regularization of $V_{K,\theta}$. Then it follows that $V^*_{K,\theta} \in Psh(X, \theta)$ if and only if K is not $Psh(X, \theta)$-polar [GZ05]. The function $V^*_{K,\theta}$ is called *global extermal function* of K.

3. Dynamics of Meromorphic Maps

Recall that a *meromorphic map* $f := \pi_1^{-1} \circ \pi_2 : X \to X$ of a complex manifold is defined by its graph which is an irreducible analytic subvariety $\Gamma_f \subset X \times X$ together with projections $\pi_1, \pi_2 : \Gamma_f \to X$ such that the projection $\pi_1 : \Gamma_f \to X$ onto the first factor is a proper modification. This means that there exists an analytic set $I_f \subset X$ of codimension at least two such that π_1 is an isomorphism from $\Gamma_f \setminus \pi_1^{-1}(I_f)$ onto its image. We denote the indeterminacy set of the n^{th} iterate f^n by I_{f^n} and set $\mathcal{I}_\infty := \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{f^n}$. We say that f is *dominant* if the projection $\pi_2 : \Gamma_f \to X$ is surjective. The term "map" is loosely used here, since f is ill-defined at every point of I_f. Therefore, many natural concepts (such as pull-back of a smooth form by f) that are defined for smooth maps, require additional interpretation in the meromorphic setting.

For a real smooth closed (p,p) form θ on X we define its *pull-back* by

$$f^*\theta := (\pi_1)_*(\pi_2)^*\theta$$

where the later push-forward considered as a current. This definition induces a linear action on the cohomology

$$f^* : H^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{R}) \to H^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{R})$$

$$f^*\{\theta\} = \{(\pi_1)_*(\pi_2^*)\theta\}$$

where $\{(\pi_1)_*(\pi_2^*)\theta\}$ denotes the de Rham class of the closed current $(\pi_1)_*(\pi_2^*)\theta$.

In general, this linear action is not compatible with the dynamics of the map. We say that f is *p-regular* if $(f^n)^* = (f^*)^n$ on $H^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{R})$ for each $n = 1, 2 \dots$

The p^{th} *dynamical degree* is defined by

$$\lambda_p(f) := \liminf_{n\to\infty} \Big(\int_{X \setminus I_{f^n}} (f^n)^*\omega^p \wedge w^{k-p} \Big)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

where ω is an auxiliary Kähler form on X. Note that $d_{top} := \lambda_k$ is the topological degree of f which is the number of pre-images of a generic point. If f is p-regular then λ_p coincides with spectral radius of f^*. Moreover, the dynamical degrees are invariant under bimeromorphic conjugations [Gue, DS04].

Recall that one can define the pull-back map on the set of positive closed $(1,1)$ currents

$$T \to f^*T$$

by pulling back the local potentials. It follows that this linear action is continuous in the weak topology of positive currents [Meo]. Moreover f^* preserves classes i.e. $f^*\{T\} = \{f^*T\}$ where $\{T\} \in H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})$ denotes the de Rham cohomology class of T. Therefore, $f^*H^{1,1}_{psef}(X,\mathbb{R}) \subset H^{1,1}_{psef}(X,\mathbb{R})$. Since $H^{1,1}_{psef}(X,\mathbb{R})$ is a closed salient convex cone it follows from a Perrron-Frobenius type argument [DF] that there exists $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{psef}(X,\mathbb{R})$ such that $f^*\alpha = \lambda_1(f)\alpha$.

3.1. **Volume Estimates.** In this section we will study the rate of contraction of volume of a set under the iteration of a dominant meromorphic map. Our treatment is slightly different than the one in [Gue04] (see also [DS08]) and allows us to derive certain equidistribution results.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ *be a dominant meromorphic map. We assume that* $\lambda := \lambda_1(f) > 1$ *and* $\delta_1(f^n) \leq C\lambda^n$ *for some constant* $C > 0$ *and for every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*. Then for any Borel set* $\Omega \subset X$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$Vol(f^n(\Omega)) \geq (C_1 Vol(\Omega))^{C_2\lambda^n}$$

where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ *and independent of* Ω*.*

Proof. We will adapt some ideas from [Dil, FJ03, Gue04]. We define the measure $f^*\omega^k$ to be the trivial extension of $(f|_{X\setminus I_f})^*\omega \wedge \cdots \wedge (f|_{X\setminus I_f})^*\omega$ through I_f and let $Jac_\omega(f)$ denote the complex Jacobian of f with respect to ω^k that is defined by

$$f^*\omega^k = |Jac_\omega(f)|^2\omega^k.$$

Notice that $|Jac_\omega(f)|^2$ is a nonnegative continuous function on $X \setminus I_f$. First, we claim that $\log|Jac_\omega(f)| = u_1 - u_2$ where u_i are qpsh functions. Indeed, in a local coordinate chart we may write $\omega = dd^c\psi$ for some smooth strictly psh function ψ. Then

$$\omega^k = (dd^c\psi)^k = 4^k d! \det[\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_i}]dA$$

where $dA = (\frac{i}{2})^k dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_d \wedge d\bar{z}_d$ and $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_d)$ indicates the local coordinates. This implies that

$$|Jac_\omega(f)|^2 = \frac{\det[\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial z_j\partial\bar{z}_i}] \circ f}{\det[\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial z_j\partial\bar{z}_i}]}|Jac_{local}(f)|^2 \tag{3.1}$$

where $Jac_{local}(f)$ denotes the Jacobian of f in the local coordinates. Thus, the claim follows by covering X with coordinate charts and observing that in the intersection of such sets the RHS of (3.1) is well-defined up to multiplication by a non-vanishing holomorphic function.

The following lemma will be useful in the sequel:

Lemma 3.2. *There exists qpsh functions* $u_{1,j}, u_{2,j}$ *such that*

$$\log|Jac_\omega(f^j)| = u_{1,j} - u_{2,j} \text{ and } dd^c u_{i,j} \geq -C\lambda^j\omega$$

for some $C > 0$, $i = 1, 2$ *and* $j = 1, 2 \ldots$

Proof. Without lost of generality we may assume that $dd^c u_i \geq -\omega$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_N$ be Kähler forms whose cohomology classes form a basis for $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $A > 0$ such that $\omega_l \leq A\omega$ for $l = 1, \ldots, N$. For a class $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ we let $\theta(\alpha) \in \alpha$ denote a smooth closed (1,1) form which lies in the linear span of $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n$. We define a norm on $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ by $||\alpha|| := \max_{l=1,\ldots,N}|a_l|$ where $\theta(\alpha) = \sum_{l=1}^N a_l\omega_l$. Note that

$$\alpha \to \int_X \theta(\alpha) \wedge \omega^{k-1}$$

defines a continuous linear functional on $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and it is non-negative on $H^{1,1}_{psef}(X, \mathbb{R})$ where the later is a closed convex cone. Then there exists a $C > 0$ such that

$$||\alpha|| \leq C \int_X \theta(\alpha) \wedge \omega^{k-1}$$

for every $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{psef}(X,\mathbb{R})$. Letting $(f^j)^*\omega = \theta_j + dd^c\phi_j$ where $\theta_j := \theta(\{(f^j)^*\omega\})$ we infer that $\theta_j \leq C\delta_1(f^j)\omega$ for some $C > 0$. By the chain rule we have

$$Jac_\omega(f^j) = \prod_{l=0}^{j-1} Jac_\omega(f) \circ f^l.$$

Then letting $u_{i,j} = \sum_{l=o}^{j-1}(\phi_l + u_i \circ f^l)$ and using hypothesis we conclude that

$$dd^c u_{i,j} \geq -C\sum_{l=0}^{j-1}\lambda^l\omega \geq -C_1\lambda^j\omega$$

for some $C_1 > 0$ independent of j. □

Next, we prove the theorem for a fixed j : Without lost of generality we may assume that $\sup_X u_{i,j} = 0$ for $i = 1,2$. We define $\nu := 2\sup(\varphi, x) > 0$ where the supremum is taken over all $x \in X$ and $\varphi \in Psh(X,\omega)$. Since X is compact ν is finite and depends only on the class $\{\omega\}$. Then by uniform version of Skoda's integrability theorem [Zer] applied to the compact family $\mathcal{F} = \{\varphi \in Psh(X,\omega) : \sup_X \varphi = 0\}$ we obtain

$$\int_X \exp(-\frac{1}{\nu C\lambda^j}(u_{1,j} - u_{2,j}))dV \leq \int_X \exp(-\frac{1}{\nu C\lambda^j}u_{1,j})dV \leq C_1 < \infty.$$

where $C_1 > 0$ does not depend on j. Then for $t > 0$

$$Vol(u_{1,j} - u_{2,j} < -t) \leq C_1 \exp(-\frac{1}{C\lambda^j}t).$$

Now, we fix $t > 0$ such that $C_1 t^{\frac{1}{C\lambda_1(f)^j}} = \frac{1}{2}Vol(\Omega)$ then by change of variables we have

$$\begin{aligned} Vol(f^j(\Omega)) &\geq \frac{1}{d_{top}}\int_\Omega |Jac_\omega(f^j)|^2 dV \\ &\geq \frac{t}{d_{top}} Vol(\{|Jac_\omega(f^j)|^2 \geq t\} \cap \Omega) \\ &\geq \frac{t}{d_{top}}(Vol(\Omega) - C_1 t^{\frac{1}{C\lambda^j}}) \\ &\geq C_2\ Vol(\Omega)^{C\lambda^j+1} \\ &\geq (C_3 Vol(\Omega))^{C_4\lambda^j} \end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from Chebyshev's inequality.

Next, we fix $j > 1$ and obtain constants $D_1, D_2 > 0$ as above such that

$$Vol(f^l(\Omega)) \geq (D_1 Vol(\Omega)^{D_2}$$

for $l = 0, 1, \ldots, j-1$. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we write $n = mj + l$ where $m \geq 0$ and $0 \leq l \leq j-1$.

$$\begin{aligned} Vol(f^n(\Omega)) &= Vol(f^l(f^{mj}(\Omega))) \\ &\geq (D_1(Vol(f^{mj}(\Omega)))^{D_2} \\ &\geq D_1(C_3^{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\lambda^{ij}} Vol(\Omega)^{\lambda^{jm}})^{D_2} \\ &\geq (C_1 Vol(\Omega))^{C_2\lambda^n} \end{aligned}$$

□

We stress that the volume estimates does not require f to be 1-regular. Moreover, these estimates are sharp as already observed in [Gue04].

Next result will be useful to prove some equidistribution results.

Corollary 3.3. *Let f be as in Theorem 3.1 and ϕ be a qpsh function on X. Then the sequence $\{\frac{1}{\lambda_1(f)^n}\phi \circ f^n\}$ is uniformly integrable.*

Proof. By scaling and translating ϕ if necessary we may assume that $dd^c\phi \geq -\omega$ and $\phi \leq 0$. Let $\lambda := \lambda_1(f)$. For $\alpha > 0$ and n is fixed we set $E^n_\alpha := \{\frac{1}{\lambda^n}\phi \circ f^n < -\alpha\}$ then

$$\int_{E^n_\alpha} -\frac{1}{\lambda^n}\phi \circ f^n dV = \alpha\ Vol(E^n_\alpha) + \int_\alpha^\infty Vol(E^n_t)dt$$

By [Kis, Theorem 3.1]there exists constants $A, B > 0$, independent of n, such that

$$Vol(\phi < -t\lambda^n) \leq A\exp(-Bt\lambda^n).$$

Since

$$f^n(\{\frac{1}{\lambda^n}\phi \circ f^n < -t\}) \subset \{\phi < -t\lambda^n\}$$

we infer from Theorem 3.1 that

$$\int_{E^n_\alpha} -\frac{1}{\lambda^n}\phi \circ f^n dV \leq \exp(-C_1\alpha)(\alpha + C_2).$$

for some constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of n. □

4. Green Currents

Construction of Green currents has a long history in complex dynamics [Bro65, Lju, BS91, FS95, Sib, DF, Gue04, DDG] etc. In the case of codimension one, for meromorphic maps of compact Kähler manifolds, one of the main results in [Bay] provides a general construction of an f^*-invariant *Green current* which represents an expanding direction for f^* in the cohomology and has good convergence properties:

Theorem 4.1. [Bay] *Let $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ be a 1-regular dominant meromorphic map and $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{psef}(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f^*\alpha = \lambda\alpha$ for some $\lambda > 1$. If*

$$(\star) \qquad \frac{1}{\lambda^n}V_\theta \circ f^n \to 0 \text{ in } L^1(X)$$

then there exists a positive closed $(1,1)$ current $T_\alpha \in \alpha$ such that for every smooth form $\theta \in \alpha$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n}(f^n)^*\theta \to T_\alpha$$

in the sense of currents. Furthermore,

1. *T_α is minimally singular among the invariant currents which belong to the class α.*
2. *T_α is extreme within the cone of positive closed (1,1) currents whose cohomology class belongs to $\mathbb{R}^+\alpha$.*

The dynamical assumption $(\star)$ holds in a quite general setting. For example, if the class α can be represented by a smooth semi-positive form then V_θ is identically zero on X and hence $(\star)$ holds. This is, for instance, always the case if X is a complex homogeneous manifold.

In the algebraic case, if the first dynamical degree is simple and the corresponding normalized invariant class satisfies certain positivity assumption then the mapping falls in the framework of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. *Let X be a projective manifold and $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ be a dominant 1-regular rational map. Assume that $\lambda := \lambda_1(f) > 1$ is a simple eigenvalue of f^* with $f^*\alpha_f = \lambda\alpha_f$. If $\alpha_f \cdot C \geq 0$ for every algebraic irreducible curve $C \subset E_f^- := f(I_f)$ then*

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^n} v_\alpha^{min} \circ f^n \to 0 \text{ in } L^1(X).$$

The positivity assumption on α for instance, holds for every nef class (cf. [DDG]).

4.1. **Normal Maps.** We say that a point $x \in X$ is *normal* if there exists disjoint open sets $x \in U$ and $\overline{\mathcal{I}_\infty} \subset V$ such that $f^n(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote the set of normal points by $\mathcal{N}_f$. We say that f is normal if $\mathcal{N}_f = X \setminus \overline{\mathcal{I}_\infty}$. Complex Hénon maps or more generally regular polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^k$ are among the exmples of normal mappings [Sib].

Proposition 4.3. *Let $f : X \to X$ be a dominant 1-regular meromorhic map. Assume that $\lambda := \lambda_1(f) > 1$ is a simple eigenvalue of $f^*|_{H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})}$ with $f^*\alpha = \lambda\alpha$ and $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{big}(X,\mathbb{R})$. If f is normal and $Vol(\overline{\mathcal{I}_\infty(f)}) = 0$ then $(\star)$ holds.*

Proof. Let θ be a fixed smooth representative for α and $T_\theta := \theta + dd^cV_\theta$ denote the minimally singular current. It follows from [Bay, Theorem 3.3] that

$$E_+(T_\theta) = E_{nn}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{I}_\infty(f).$$

where $E_{nn}(\alpha)$ denotes the non-nef locus of α ([Bou04]). Let $U \subset X \setminus \overline{\mathcal{I}_\infty(f)}$ be a relatively compact open subset. Since $Vol(\overline{\mathcal{I}_\infty(f)}) = 0$ it is enough to prove that $Vol(U \cap \Omega_{n,t}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ where

$$\Omega_{n,t} = \{x \in X : \frac{1}{\lambda^n} V_\theta \circ f^n(x) < -t\}.$$

By normality of f, there exists an open subsets $U, V \subset X$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{I}_\infty(f)} \subset V$ and $f^n(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that,

$$f^n(U \cap \Omega_{n,t}) \subset \{x \in X \setminus V : V_\theta < -t\lambda^n\}.$$

Since $\nu(T_\theta, x) = 0$ for every $x \in X \setminus V$, it follows from Theorem 3.1 and [Kis, Theorem 3.1] that for every $A > 0$ there exists $B > 0$ such that

$$(C_1 Vol(\{x \in X \setminus V : V_\theta < -t\lambda^n\}))^{C_2\lambda^n} \leq B \exp(-At\lambda^n)$$

where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ as in Theorem 3.1. Since $A > 0$ can be chosen arbitrarily large the assertion follows.

□

5. Equidistribution towards Green Current

In this section we will consider the following problem: Let (X, f) be as in Theorem 4.1. Provide sufficient conditions on the set of positive closed $(1,1)$ currents S on X such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^n}(f^n)^*S \to T_\alpha$$

in the sense of currents.

5.1. **Equidistribution of Zeros of Sections.** Let X be a projective manifold and $\pi : L \to X$ be a holomorphic line bundle. We denote the semi-group

$$N(L) := \{m \in \mathbb{N} : H^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) \neq 0\}.$$

Given $m \in N(L)$, we consider the *canonical map* induced by the complete linear series $|L^{\otimes m}|$

$$\Phi_m : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_m}$$

$$x \to [s_0(x) : s_1(x) : \cdots : s_{N_m}(x)]$$

where the identification $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})^\star = \mathbb{P}^{N_m}$ is determined by the choice of the basis $s_0, \ldots, s_{N_m}$ for $H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$. Note that Φ_m is a rational map which is holomorphic on the complement of the base locus $B_{|L^{\otimes m}|} := \bigcap_{s \in H^0(X,L^{\otimes m})} s^{-1}(0)$. We also let $Y_m := \Phi_m(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_m}$ be the image of the closure of graph of Φ_m. Recall that a line bundle L is called *semi-ample* if $B_{|L^{\otimes m}|} = \emptyset$ for some $m > 0$. The *Kodaira-Iitaka dimension* of L is defined to be

$$\kappa(X, L) := \max_{m \in N(L)} \dim Y_m$$

if $N(L) \neq \emptyset$ otherwise we set $\kappa(X, L) = -\infty$. *Kodaira dimension* of X, denoted by *kod*(X), is defined to be $\kappa(X, K_X)$ where K_X is the canonical bundle of X. Notice that kod(X) is equal to one of $-\infty, 0, 1, \ldots, k$. A line bundle L is called *big* if $\kappa(X, L) = k$. We stress that this definition is consistent with the one in Section 2 that is L is big if and only if $c_1(L)$ contains a Kähler current. It is well-known that L is big if and only if there exists $C > 0$ such that $h^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) \geq Cm^k$ for sufficiently large $m > 0$.

Given a holomorphic line bundle $\pi : L \to X$ we can find an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of X and biholomorphisms $\varphi_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \to U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}$, trivializations of $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$. Then the line bundle L is uniquely determined (up to isomorphism) by the transition functions $g_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $g_{\alpha\beta}(z) = (\varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1})_{|_{\pi^{-1}(z)}}$. The functions $g_{\alpha\beta}$ are non-vanishing holomorphic functions on $U_{\alpha\beta} := U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} g_{\alpha\beta} . g_{\beta\alpha} = 1 \\ g_{\alpha\beta} . g_{\beta\gamma} . g_{\gamma\alpha} = 1 \end{cases}$$

Recall that a singular metric h is a collection $\{e^{-\psi_\alpha}\}$ of functions $\psi_\alpha \in L^1(U_\alpha)$ satisfying the compatibility conditions $\psi_\alpha = \psi_{beta} + \log |g_{\alpha\beta}|$. We say that the metric is positive if $\psi_\alpha \in Psh(U_\alpha)$ and the metric is called smooth if $\psi_\alpha \in C^\infty(U_\alpha)$. In the sequel we fix a smooth metric $h = \{e^{-\psi_\alpha}\}$. Then its curvature form, locally defined by

$$\theta_h = dd^c \psi_\alpha$$

is a globally well-defined smooth closed $(1,1)$ form representing the class $c_1(L)$ where $c_1(L)$ is the image of the Chern class of L under the mapping $i : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ induced by the inclusion $i : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$.

The metric h induces a metric on $L^{\otimes m}$ which is defined by $h_m = \{e^{-m\psi_\alpha}\}$. For $s = \{s_\alpha\} \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$ we define its h_m-norm by $||s||_{h_m} := |s_\alpha| e^{-m\psi_\alpha}$ on U_α. Note that this definition is independent of α due to the compatibility conditions $s_\alpha = s_\beta . g_{\alpha\beta}$ on $U_{\alpha\beta}$. We also define an L^2-norm on $H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$ by

$$||s||^2_{L^2(dV, L^{\otimes m})} := \int_X ||s||^2_{h_m} dV$$

and we write $|s|$ for $||s||_{L^2(dV,L^{\otimes m})}$ in short.

For $s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$ we let $[Z_s]$ denote the current of integration along the zero divisor of s. Then by Poincaré-Lelong formula locally we can write

$$[Z_s] = dd^c \log |s_\alpha|$$

hence,

$$[Z_s] = m\theta_h + dd^c \log ||s||_{h_m}$$

where the equality follows from compatibility conditions. Thus, we conclude that $\frac{1}{m}[Z_s]$ is a positive closed $(1,1)$ current representing the class $c_1(L)$.

Now, we set

$$SH^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) := \{s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) : |s| = 1\}$$

is the unit sphere in the Hilbert space $H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$. We identify $SH^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$ with the $(2N_m - 1)$ sphere in $\mathbb{C}^{N_m}$ and regard it as a probability space endowed with the unitary invariant measure denoted by μ_m.

The following proposition which is of independent interest, will be useful in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 5.1. *The algebra $R(L)$ is finitely generated if and only if*

$$T_m := \int_{SH^0(X,L^{\otimes m})} \frac{1}{m}[Z_s] d\mu_m(s)$$

is a minimally singular current in $c_1(L)$ for some $m > 0$.

Proof. Using the additive notation for tensor powers of L, we fix an orthonormal basis $\{\sigma_j^m\}$ for $H^0(X, mL)$.

Lemma 5.2. [SZ, Lemma 3.1] *Let $\Phi_m : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_m}$ be as above and ω_{FS} denotes the Fubini-Study form on $\mathbb{P}^{N_m}$. Then $T_m = \frac{1}{m}\Phi_m^* \omega_{FS}$.*

By Lemma 5.2

$$T_m = \Theta_h + \frac{1}{2m} dd^c \rho_m$$

where $\rho_m := \frac{1}{2m} \log \sum_j ||\sigma_j^m||^2_{h_m}$. Let h_{min} be a minimally singular metric on L with curvature current $T_{min} = \Theta_h + dd^c \varphi_{min}$. We will also need the following lemma

Lemma 5.3. [BEGZ, Lemma 6.6] *The algebra $R(L)$ is finitely generated if and only if there exists $m_0 > 0$ such that $\rho_{km_0} = \rho_{m_0} + O(1)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

The rest of the proof is devoted to construction of sections of large powers of L with uniform L^2-estimates by using an Oshawa-Takegoshi type extension theorem. We will adapt some arguments from [Bou04]. We fix a Hermitian line bundle (A, h_A) with h_A has sufficiently positive curvature form ω_A. We also fix a Kähler current $T_+ \in c_1(L)$ with global potential φ. Then the line bundle $G_m := mL - A$ is pseudo-effective for large $m > 0$. Indeed, choosing $m_0 > 0$ large enough such that $m_0 T_+ - \omega_A$ is a Kähler current and writing

$$G_m = (m - m_0)L + (m_0 L - A)$$

we see that $T_m := (m - m_0)T_{min} + m_0 T_+ - \omega_A$ is a positive current representing $c_1(G_m)$ for $m \geq m_0$. Then we can choose a smooth Hermitian metric h_m on G_m such that T_m is the curvature current of $\exp(-2(m - m_0)\varphi_{min} - 2m_0\varphi)h_m$.

Now, applying Oshawa-Takegoshi-Manivel L^2-extension theorem [Dem01] we see that the evaluation map

$$H^0(X, mL \otimes \mathcal{I}(T_m)) \to \mathcal{O}_x \otimes \mathcal{I}(T_m)_x$$

is surjective for every $x \in X$ with an L^2 estimate independent of (G_m, h_m) and x. This means that for every x and m there exists $\sigma_{m,x} \in H^0(X, mL)$ such that

$$\int_X \|\sigma_{m,x}\|^2_{h_{min}^{m-m_0} \otimes h_{T_+} \otimes h_m} dV \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \|\sigma_{m,x}(x)\|_{h_{min}^{m-m_0} \otimes h_{T_+} \otimes h_m} = 1$$

where C is independent of m and x. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{min} + \frac{1}{m-m_0}\varphi &= \frac{1}{2(m-m_0)} \log ||\sigma_{m,x}(x)||^2_{h_m} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2(m-m_0)} \log \sum_j ||\sigma_j^m(x)||^2_{h_m} + C \\ &= \frac{m}{m-m_0}\rho_m(x) + C \end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from the uniform bound on the L^2-norms. Thus, the assertion follows from Lemma 5.3 by taking $m = km_0$ and letting $k \to \infty$. □

Recall that a set $K \subset \mathbb{P}^k$ is called *pluripolar* if $K \subset \{\phi = -\infty\}$ for some qpsh function ϕ.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We fix $m > 0$ as in Proposition 5.1 large enough such that $h^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) \geq Cm^k$ for some $C > 0$. For $s_1, s_2 \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$, $Z_{s_1} = Z_{s_2}$ if and only if $s_1 = \tau s_2$ for some $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus, we can parametrize $|L^{\otimes m}|$ by $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$. Therefore, it is enough to prove the equivalent statement that

$$\lambda_f^{-n}(f^n)^*(\frac{1}{m}[Z_s]) \to T_\alpha$$

for $s \in SH^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$ out side of a pluripolar set.

Now, it follows from Proposition 5.1 that

$$T_m = \int_{SH^0(X,L^{\otimes m})} \frac{1}{m}[Z_s] d\mu_m(s).$$

is a minimally singular current representing the class α. Thus, by Theorem 4.1, $\lambda^{-n}(f^n)^* T_m \to T_\alpha$ in the sense of currents.

Now, for every $s \in SH^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$ by dd^c-lemma [GH, pp 149] we have $[Z_s] = mT_m + dd^c\varphi_s$ where $\varphi_s \in L^1(X)$ (not necessarily qpsh!). Moreover, since T_m is minimally singular we may assume that $\varphi_s \leq 0$. Hence, it is enough to show that $\lambda^{-n}\varphi_s \circ f^n \to 0$ in $L^1(X)$ for every s outside of a pluripolar set. First, we prove the assertion for μ_m-almost every s. By definition of $T_m$we have

$$\int_{SH^0(X,L^{\otimes m})} |\varphi_s(x)| d\mu_m(s) = c$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant. We define

$$\psi_k : SH^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$\psi_k(s) := \sum_{n=0}^{k} ||\lambda^{-n}\varphi_s \circ f^n||_{L^1(X)}$$

and claim that $\psi_k \to \psi \in L^1(SH^0(X, L^{\otimes m}), \mu_m)$. Since ψ_k is non-negative and increasing it is enough to show that ψ_k is bounded in $L^1(SH^0(X, L^{\otimes m}), \mu_m)$ and this follows from Fubini's theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} ||\psi_k|| &= \sum_{n=0}^{k} \int_X \int_{SH^0(X,L^{\otimes m})} \lambda^{-n} |\varphi_s \circ f^n(x)| d\mu_m(s) dV \\ &= c \sum_{n=0}^{k} \lambda^{-n} \leq \frac{c\lambda}{\lambda - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\psi \in L^1(SH^0(X, L^{\otimes m}), \mu_m)$, $\psi(s)$ is finite for almost every $s \in SH^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$. Hence, $\lambda^{-n}\varphi_s \circ f^n \to 0$ in $L^1(X)$ for μ_m-almost every s.

It remains to show that the convergence holds outside of a pluripolar set. Let $\mathcal{E}_m \subset SH^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$ denotes the set on which $\lambda^{-n}(f^n)^*[Z_s] \not\to mT_\alpha$. If $\mathcal{E}_m$ is not a pluripolar set then it has a non-puripolar compact subset $K \subset \mathcal{E}_m$. For every such compact set there exists a bounded psh function u_K such that the Monge-Ampère measure $\nu := dd^c u_K \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c u_K$ defines a probability measure supported on K. Then Chern-Levine-Nirenberg inequality implies that for every $x \in X$

$$\int_{SH^0(X,L^{\otimes m})} |\varphi_s(x)| d\nu(s) \leq C \|\varphi_s\|_{L^1(X)} \|u_K\|_{L^\infty(K)} < \infty.$$

Thus, applying the above argument with ν in the place of μ_m we conclude that $\lambda^{-n}\varphi_s \circ f^n \to 0$ for ν-almost every $s \in \mathcal{E}_m$. This is a contradiction. □

The main idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 goes back to Russakovskii-Sodin [RS95] and Russakovskii-Shiffman (see also [Sib]). Recall that for a big line bundle L the algebra $R(L)$ is not always finitely generated. In fact, if L is big and nef then $R(L)$ is finitely generated if and only if L is semi-ample (see [Laz] for details). In Theorem 1.1, if L is semi-ample then $c_1(L)$ can be represented by a smooth semi-positive form and by Theorem 4.1 we do not need to assume that $\lambda = \lambda_1(f)$ nor λ is simple. In particular, we have the following result:

Theorem 5.4. *Let $f : X \to X$ be a 1-regular dominant rational map and $\lambda > 1$ with $f^*\alpha = \lambda\alpha$ where $\alpha = c_1(L)$ and $L \to X$ is a semi-ample big line bundle. Then there exists $m > 0$ and a pluripolar set $\mathcal{E}_m \subset |L^{\otimes m}|$ such that for every $H \notin \mathcal{E}_m$*

$$\lambda^{-n}(f^n)^*(\frac{1}{m}[H]) \to T_\alpha$$

in the sense of currents as $n \to \infty$.

5.2. **Equidistribution of Currents with Mild Singularities.** In this section X denotes merely compact Kähler manifold. The next result indicates that if the class α can be represented by a positive closed current with mild singularities (in the sense of Lelong numbers) then any such current equidistributes towards the Green current.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $f : X \to X$ be a dominant 1-regular meromorphic map. Assume that $\lambda := \lambda_1(f) > 1$ is a simple eigenvalue of f^* with $f^*\alpha = \lambda\alpha$ and $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{psef}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Furthermore, we assume that $\nu(V_\theta, x) = 0$ for every $x \in X$. Then condition $(\star)$ of Theorem 4.1 holds. Moreover, for every positive closed $(1,1)$ current $S \in \alpha$ such that the Lelong numbers, $\nu(S, x) = 0$ for each $x \in X$ the sequence $\lambda^{-n}(f^n)^*S$ converges weakly to the Green current T_α.*

Proof. Let $S \in \alpha$ be a positive closed $(1,1)$ current such that $\nu(S,x) = 0$ for every $x \in X$. Then by dd^c lemma we write $S = \theta + dd^c\varphi$. By Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 3.3, it is enough to show that $Vol(\{\varphi \circ f^n < -t\lambda^n\}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for every $t > 0$. Since

$$f^n(\{\varphi \circ f^n < -t\lambda^n\}) \subset \{\varphi < -t\lambda^n\}$$

the claim follows from volume estimates as in proof of Proposition 4.3. □

Theorem 5.5 extends [Gue03, Theorem 1.4] which was obtained in the case of $X = \mathbb{P}^k$ and and $\alpha = \{\omega_{FS}\}$. Recall that if $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{nef}(X,\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{1,1}_{big}(X,R)$ then $\nu(T_\theta, x) \equiv 0$ on X. However, without the big assumption this is no longer true even in dimension 2. There exists a ruled surface X over an elliptic curve such that X contains an irreducible curve C with the following property: $\{C\} \in H^{1,1}_{nef}(X,\mathbb{R})$ but $\{C\}$ contains only one positive closed (1,1) current $[C]$ namely, the current of integration along C (see [DPS94] for details). On the other hand, even if the class $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{big}(X,\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{1,1}_{nef}(X,\mathbb{R})$ the function V_θ might have a non-empty polar set (see [BEGZ, Example 5.8]).

5.3. Equidistribution in Energy Classes.

In this section, we consider some cases where the invariant class is merely big.

Proposition 5.6. *Let f be as in Theorem 4.1. We assume that $\lambda := \lambda_1(f) > 1$ is simple and $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{big}(X,\mathbb{R})$. If $\varphi \in Psh(X,\theta)$ such that*

$$t^k Cap_\theta(\varphi - V_\theta < -t) \to 0 \text{ as } t \to +\infty$$

then $\frac{1}{\lambda^n}(f^n)^*(\theta + dd^c\varphi) \to T_\alpha$.

Proof. By normalizing φ we may assume that $\varphi \leq V_\theta \leq 0$. Then replacing ϕ by $\varphi - V_\theta$ in the statement of Corollary 3.3 and using $\{\varphi - V_\theta < -t\} \subset \{\varphi < -t\}$ one can show that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{\lambda^n}(\varphi - V_\theta)\circ f^n\}$ is uniformly integrable. Thus, it is enough to show that for every $t > 0$

$$Vol(\frac{1}{\lambda^n}(\varphi - V_\theta) \circ f^n < -t) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Let $\nu_\alpha := 2\sup_{T,x} \nu(T,x) > 0$ where the sup is taken over all positive closed currents $T \in \alpha$ and $x \in X$. Note that $\nu_\alpha < \infty$ and depends only on the class α. Then by uniform version of Skoda's integrability theorem [Zer] we have

$$(5.1) \qquad \int_X \exp(-\nu_\alpha^{-1}\phi)dV \leq C_\theta \ for\ every\ \phi \in Psh(X,\theta) \ such\ that\ \sup_X \phi = 0$$

where $C_\theta > 0$. Now, let us denote $K_t := \{\varphi - V_\theta < -t\}$ and apply (5.1) with $\phi := V^*_{K_t,\theta} - M_\theta(K_t)$ where $M_\theta(K_t) := \sup_X V^*_{K_t,\theta}$. Then we get

$$\int_X \exp(-\nu_\alpha^{-1}V^*_{K_t,\theta})dV \leq C_\theta \exp(-\nu_\alpha^{-1}M_\theta(K_t))$$

Since $V^*_{K_t,\theta} \leq 0$ a.e. on K_t with respect to Lebesgue measure [GZ05] we infer that

$$Vol(\varphi - V_\theta < -t) \leq C_\theta \exp(-\nu_\alpha^{-1}M_\theta(K_t))$$

We need the following lemma:

Lemma 5.7.

$$Cap_\theta(K_t) \geq \frac{vol(\alpha)}{M_\theta(K_t)^k}$$

Proof. Note that $M_\theta(K_t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Thus we may assume that $M_\theta(K_t) \geq 1$. Now, we set $\sigma_t := M_\theta(K_t)^{-1} V^*_{K_t,\theta} + (1 - M_\theta(K_t)^{-1}) V_\theta - 1$ then $V_\theta - 1 \leq \sigma_t \leq V_\theta$ and by definition of Cap_θ we get

$$Cap_\theta(K_t) \geq \int_X MA(\sigma_t) \geq M_\theta(K_t)^{-k} \int_X MA(V^*_{K_t,\theta}) \geq \frac{vol(\alpha)}{M_\theta(K_t)^k}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $V^*_{K_t,\theta}$ is minimally singular (cf [BEGZ, Theorem 1.16]). □

Thus, by Lemma 5.7 we get

$$Vol(\varphi - V_\theta < -t) \leq C_\theta \exp(-\nu_\alpha^{-1} (\frac{vol(\alpha)}{Cap_\theta(K_t)})^{\frac{1}{k}})$$

Now, for $t > 0$ we set

$$\Omega_{n,t} := \{(\varphi - V_\theta) \circ f^n < -t\lambda^n\}$$

then by Theorem 3.1 we have

$$Vol(f^n(\Omega_{n,t}) \geq (C_1 Vol(\Omega_{n,t}))^{C_2 \lambda^n}$$

hence,

$$0 \leq Vol(\Omega_{n,t}) \leq A \exp(-\frac{B}{t\lambda^n Cap_\theta(K_{t\lambda^n})^{\frac{1}{k}}})$$

for some $A, B > 0$. Thus, the assertion follows. □

We stress that if $\varphi \in Psh(X, \theta)$ such that

$$t^k Cap_\theta(\varphi - V_\theta < -t) \to 0 \ as \ t \to \infty$$

then $\int_X MA(\varphi) = vol(\alpha)$ that is φ has full Monge-Ampère. Indeed, let $\varphi_t := \max(\varphi, V_\theta - t)$. Then $t^{-1}\varphi_t + (1 - t^{-1}) V_\theta$ is a competitor for the Capacity for $t \geq 1$. Thus,

$$MA(\varphi_t) \leq t^k Cap_\theta$$

and we infer that $\int_{(\varphi - V_\theta \leq -t)} MA(\varphi_t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ and this implies that $\int_X MA(\varphi) = vol(\alpha)$.

In general, capacity of sublevel sets do not decay faster than t^{-1} [GZ07]. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ is a bounded hyperconvex domain and $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ where $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ denotes the Cegrell class then $t^k Cap(\varphi < -t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ ([CKZ]). In the setting of compact Kähler manifolds, if α is a Kähler class or $\alpha \in NS(X)$ semi-positive and big then for every $\varphi \in Psh(X, \theta)$ with full Monge-Ampère the Lelong numbers of φ are identically zero on X [GZ07, BB]. Thus, by Theorem 5.5 we have $\frac{1}{\lambda^n}(f^n)^*(\theta + dd^c\varphi) \to T_\alpha$.

Question 5.8. *Let f and α be as in Proposition 5.6. Is is true that*

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^n}(f^n)^*(\theta + dd^c\varphi) \to T_\alpha$$

for every $\varphi \in Psh(X, \theta)$ which has full Monge-Ampère i.e. $\int_X MA(\varphi) = vol(\alpha)$?

In this direction, we prove the following result :

Proposition 5.9. *Let f be as in Proposition 5.6. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\chi(X,\theta)$ where χ is a convex weight such that $|\chi(-t)| = O(t^p)$ as $t \to \infty$ for some $p > d-1$ then*

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^n}(f^n)^*(\theta + dd^c\varphi) \to T_\alpha$$

in the sense of currents as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. The proof follows from Proposition 5.6 and the Lemma 5.10 which is variation of [GZ07, Lemma 5.1] together with the regularity result from [BD]. $\square$

Lemma 5.10. *If $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\chi(X,\theta)$ then there exists $C_\varphi > 0$ such that*

$$Cap(\varphi - V_\theta < -t) \leq \frac{C_\varphi}{t|\chi(-t)|}$$

for every $t > 1$.

Proof. Shifting φ we may assume that $\varphi \leq V_\theta$. Let $\psi \in Psh(X,\theta)$ such that $V_\theta - 1 \leq \psi \leq V_\theta$ then for $t > 1$

$$\{\varphi < V_\theta - 2t\} \subset \{t^{-1}\varphi + (1-t^{-1})V_\theta < \psi - 1\} \subset \{\varphi < V_\theta - t\}.$$

Since φ has full Monge-Ampère comparison principle [BEGZ, Corollary 2.3] yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\{\varphi - V_\theta < -2t\}} MA(\psi) &\leq \int_{\{\varphi - V_\theta < -t\}} MA(t^{-1}\varphi + (1-t^{-1})V_\theta) \\
&\leq \int_{\{\varphi - V_\theta < -2t\}} MA(V_\theta) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \binom{k}{j} t^{-j} \int_{\{\varphi - V_\theta < -2t\}} \langle(\theta + dd^c\varphi)^j \wedge (\theta + dd^c V_\theta)^{k-j}\rangle \\
&\leq A\exp(-Bt) + \frac{C}{t} MA(\varphi)(\{\varphi - V_\theta < -t\})
\end{aligned}$$

where $A, B > 0$ and the the last inequality follows from the fact $MA(V_\theta)$ has L^∞-density with respect to Lebesgue measure ([BD, Corollary 2.5]) and [BEGZ, Proposition 2.8]. Then by Chebyshev's inequality we obtain

$$Cap(\varphi - V_\theta < -t) \leq A\exp(-Bt) + \frac{1}{t|\chi(-t)|}\int_X |\chi(\varphi - V_\theta)| MA(\varphi)$$

since $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\chi(X,\theta)$ the later integral is finite. $\square$

Corollary 5.11. *Let X be a compact Kähler surface and $f : X \to X$ be a dominant 1-regular meromorphic map. If $\lambda_1^2 > \lambda_2$ then $\frac{1}{\lambda_1^n}(f^n)^*(\theta + dd^c\varphi) \to T_\alpha$ for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^p(X,\theta)$ with $p > 1$.*

Remark 5.12. *We remark that the condition*

$$\int_X |\chi(\varphi - V_\theta)| MA(\varphi) < \infty$$

is not enough to conclude that $\theta + dd^c\varphi$ equidistributes towards the Green current. Indeed, let $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a holomorphic map of degree $\lambda \geq 2$ with a totally invariant point p. Then $\delta_p = \omega_{FS} + dd^c\varphi_p$ is a positive closed current with $\int_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\varphi_p)MA(\delta_p) = 0$ but $\frac{1}{\lambda^n}(f^n)^*\delta_p \not\to \mu_f$.

5.4. **Minimally Separating Maps.** Let $f : X \to X$ be a bimeromorphic map. We denote the indeterminacy locus of f^{-1} by I^- and we set $\mathcal{I}^-_\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty f^n(I^-)$. Following [Dil], we say that f is *minimally separating* if $\mathcal{I}^+_\infty \cap \mathcal{I}^-_\infty = \emptyset$.

Theorem 5.13. *Let* $f : X \to X$ *be a minimally separating map. We assume that* $\lambda = \lambda_1(f) > 1$ *is simple and the corresponding normalized eigenvector* $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{big}(X, \mathbb{R})$. *If* $\mathcal{I}^-_\infty \subset Amp(\alpha)$ *then condition* $(\star)$ *holds. Moreover,* $\lim \frac{1}{\lambda^n}(f^n)^*\eta = cT_\alpha$ *for every smooth closed* $(1,1)$ *form* η *on* X *where* $c > 0$ *depends only on the class* $\{\eta\}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that a minimally separating bimeromorphic map is always 1-regular. Let $T_K = \theta + dd^c\varphi_K$ be a Kähler current such that $Amp(\alpha) = X \setminus E_+(T_K)$. We first show that $\frac{1}{\lambda^n}\varphi_K \circ f^n \to 0$ in $L^1(X)$ which clearly implies the condition $(\star)$. By Corollary 3.3 it is enough to show that $Vol(\Omega_{n,t}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Assuming the contrary we will drive a contradiction: suppose that there exists $r > 0$ and $n_k \to \infty$ such that $r \leq Vol(\Omega_{n_k,t})$. Renumbering the sequence we may assume that $n_k = n$. We fix n large enough such that $\exp(-C\lambda^n) < r$ where $C > 0$ will be defined later. Since f is minimally separating and $E_+(T_K)$ is an analytic set there exists $\epsilon = \epsilon(n) > 0$ small enough and $U_\epsilon(E_+(T_K))$, $U_\epsilon((I^-) \cup f(I^-) \cdots \cup f^{n-1}(I^-))$ are open neighborhoods such that

$$U_\epsilon(E_+(T_K)) \cap U_\epsilon((I^-) \cup f(I^-) \cdots \cup f^{n-1}(I^-)) = \emptyset.$$

Lemma 5.14. *There exists* $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ *such that if* $p \in X \setminus I_f$ *with*

$$|Jac_\omega(f)(p)|^2 < \delta$$

then $f(p) \in U_\epsilon(I^-)$.

Proof. Assume that $f(p) \notin U_\epsilon(I^-)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
|Jac_\omega(f)(p)|^2 &= \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{Vol(B(f(p), s)}{Vol(f^{-1}(B(f(p), s)))} \\
&\geq \lim_{s\to 0} \Big(\frac{s}{\max(\{dist(f^{-1}(x), p) : x \in B(f(p), s)\})}\Big)^{2k} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{||Df^{-1}(p)||^{2k}} \\
&\geq dist(f(p), I^-)^q \\
&\geq \epsilon^q = \delta
\end{aligned}$$

where $q > 0$ and the fourth line follows from [DD, Lemma 2.1].

□

Now we choose m large such that

$$f^{n+m}(\Omega_{n+m,t}) \subset \{\varphi_K < -t\lambda^{n+m}\} \subset U_\epsilon(E_+(T_K))$$

where

$$\Omega_{n,t} := \{\varphi_K \circ f^n < -t\lambda^n\}.$$

Since $U_\epsilon(E_+(T_K)) \cap U_\epsilon((I^-) \cup f(I^-) \cdots \cup f^{n-1}(I^-)) = \emptyset$ it follows from Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 5.14 that

$$Vol(f^{n+m}(\Omega_{n+m,t}) \geq \delta^n (C_1 Vol(\Omega_{n+m,t}))^{C_2\lambda^m}.$$

On the other hand, since $f^{n+m}(\Omega_{n+m,t}) \subset \{\varphi_K < -t\lambda^{n+m}\}$ there exists constants $A, B > 0$ independent of n and m such that

$$A\exp(-B\lambda^{n+m}) \geq Vol(\varphi_K < -t\lambda^{n+m}).$$

Combining these two inequalities and letting $m \to \infty$ we get

$$r \leq \liminf_{m\to\infty} Vol(\Omega_{m,t}) \leq \exp(-C\lambda^n)$$

where $C = \frac{B}{C_2} > 0$ is the positive constant. This arrises a contradiction and we conclude that $\frac{1}{\lambda^n}\varphi_K \circ f^n \to 0$ in $L^1(X)$.

Next, we fix a Kähler form β such that $T_K \geq \beta$. Any limit point S of the sequence $\{\lambda^{-n}(f^n)^*\beta\}$ is a positive closed current in $c\alpha$ for some $c > 0$ depending only on $\{\beta\}$. This implies that $S \leq cT_\alpha$. Hence, by Theorem 4.1 (2) we get $S = cT_\alpha$.

Since X is compact for any two Kähler forms ω_1, ω_2 on X there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{C}\omega_1 \leq \omega_2 \leq C\omega_1$ and this implies the weak convergence under pull-backs towards Green current for any Kähler form. Finally, any smooth form η can be written as a difference of two Kähler forms hence the assertion follows. $\square$

The following result is a consequence of Crofton's formula [Dem93] applied as in Theorem 1.1:

Corollary 5.15. *Let f be as in Theorem 5.13. If X is projective then for Lebesgue almost every hyperplane section H*

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^n}(f^n)^*[H] \to cT_\alpha$$

where $c > 0$ depends only on the imbedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$.

6. Invariant Classes and Classification of Varieties

Let $f : X \to X$ be a bimeromorphic map of a compact Kähler surface X. It follows from [DF] that there exists a compact Kähler surface Y obtained by blowing up finitely many points on X such that the induced map $f_Y : Y \to Y$ is 1-regular. Moreover, if $\lambda_1(f) > 1$ then it follows from Hodge index theorem that λ_1 is a simple eigenvalue of $f^*_{|_{H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})}}$. We denote the corresponding eigenvector by α_f which is normalized by $\langle \alpha_f, \{\omega\}\rangle = 1$.

Theorem 6.1 ([DF])**.** *Let $f : X \to X$ be a bimeromorphic map of a compact Kähler surface X such that $\lambda_1(f) > 1$. The map f is birationally conjugate to an automorphism (g, Y) for some compact Kähler surface Y if and only if the invariant class α_f is not big.*

Recall that a class $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{psef}(X,\mathbb{R})$ is big if and only if the numerical dimension $\nu(\alpha) = \dim X$.

Proposition 6.2. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension $k \geq 2$ and $f : X \to X$ be holomorphic. If $\lambda_1(f)^2 > \lambda_2(f)$ then $\lambda_1(f)$ is simple eigenvalue of $f^*_{|_{H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})}}$ and the corresponding normalized invariant class $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{nef}(X,\mathbb{R})$ has numerical dimension one.*

Proof. Since f is a holomorphic f^* preserves the nef cone. Then it follows from a Perron-Frobenius type argument that there exists an a nonzero $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{nef}(X,\mathbb{R})$ such that $f^*\alpha = \lambda_1(f)\alpha$. Since $\lambda_1^2 > \lambda_2$ we infer that $\alpha^2 = 0$ in $H^{2,2}(X,\mathbb{R})$.

Moreover, it follows from Riemann bilinear relations that $\lambda_1(f)$ is simple [Gue, Proposition 5.4]. Since the positive intersection coincides with the cup product on the nef cone we conclude that $\langle \alpha^2 \rangle = 0$. Thus, numerical dimension of α, $\nu(\alpha) \leq 1$. Let $\alpha = Z(\alpha) + N(\alpha)$ denotes divisorial Zariski decomposition of α (see [Bou04]). It follows from [Bou04] that if $\nu(\alpha) = 0$ then α is the class of an exceptional $\mathbb{R}$-divisor D such that $Z(\alpha) = 0$. This contradicts that α is nef. Hence, $\nu(\alpha) = 1$. □

We stress that if X is a compact Kähler surface then a class $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{nef}(X, \mathbb{R})$ has numerical dimension one if and only if α is not big. The following result was proved in [DF] for bimeromorphic maps of a compact Kähler surface.

Theorem 6.3. *Let X be a compact Kähler surface and $f : X \to X$ be a dominant meromorphic map. If $\lambda_1(f) > \lambda_2(f)$ and $\alpha_f \in H^{1,1}_{big}(X, \mathbb{R})$ then X is rational.*

Proof. Since $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ it follows from [Gue, Theorem 2.14] that Kodaira dimension of X, $kod(X) = 0$ or $-\infty$.

If $kod(X) = 0$ and X is minimal surface then it follows from Kodaira-Enrique classification of compact complex surfaces [BPV] that K_X is a nef-divisor and $12K_X \equiv 0$. By Riemann-Hurwitz formula [GH] we have

$$K_X = R_f + f^* K_X$$

where R_f denotes the ramification divisor. Thus, we deduce that $R_f = 0$. This implies that the exceptional locus $\mathcal{E}_f = \emptyset$. Then the push-pull formula [DF, Theorem 3.3] yields that $\alpha_f^2 = 0$. Since $\alpha \in H^{1,1}_{nef}(X, \mathbb{R})$ by [DP] we have $vol(\alpha_f) = \alpha_f^2$. Therefore, α_f is not big.

If X is not minimal then there exists a proper holomorphic map (induced by a finite sequence of blow-ups) $\pi : X \to X'$ where X' is minimal. Moreover, f induces a meromorphic map $g : X' \to X'$ where $g = \pi \circ f \circ \pi^{-1}$. Since the dynamical degrees are bimeromorphic invariants we obtain that $\lambda_1(g) > \lambda_2(g)$. Thus $\lambda_1(g)$ is a simple eigenvalue of g^* and we denote the invariant class α_g which is normalized by $\langle \alpha_g, \omega_{X'} \rangle = 1$. Moreover, we have $\alpha_g = \pi_* \alpha_f$ up to a constant. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1^{-n} (g^n)^* (\pi_* \alpha_f) &= \lambda_1^{-n} \pi_* (f^n)^* (\pi^* \pi_* \alpha_f) \\ &= \lambda_1^{-n} \pi_* (f^n)^* (\alpha_f + E) \\ &= \pi_* \alpha_f + \pi_* (\lambda_1^{-n} (f^n)^* E) \end{aligned}$$

where E is the class of an exceptional divisor supported in $\mathcal{E}(\pi)$ (cf. [DF, Theorem 3.3]). Then by [DF, Corollary 3.4] applied to π^{-1} we get

$$\langle \alpha_g, \alpha_g \rangle = \langle \pi_* \alpha_f, \pi_* \alpha_f \rangle \geq \langle \alpha_f, \alpha_f \rangle = vol(\alpha).$$

Thus, by above argument $\alpha_f^2 = 0$ and α_f is not big.

If $kod(X) = -\infty$ and X is rational then we are done. If X is not rational then it is a ruled surface with a nonrational base [BPV]. Since f preserves the fibration by [Gue, Theorem 2.4] we conclude that $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1$ which contradicts our assumption. □

In the following section we provide a discrete family of birational maps of some rational threefolds such that the invariant class is not big yet the maps are not bimeromorphically conjugate to an automorphism.

6.1. **Examples.** In this section, we consider the family of birational mappings of the form

$$f = L \circ J_3 : \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$$

where

$$J_3 : \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$$

$$J_3[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] = [x_1x_2x_3 : x_0x_2x_3 : x_0x_1x_3 : x_0x_1x_2]$$

and $L \in Aut(\mathbb{P}^3)$ given by the matrix

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 - 1 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_0 & a_1 - 1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 - 1 & a_3 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 2$. In the sequel we will assume that $a_0 = 0, a_1 = \frac{r-1}{r}$ with $r \geq 2, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $a_i \neq \frac{n-1}{n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We denote the hypersurfaces $\Sigma_i = \{x_i = 0\}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Then exceptional locus of f is given by $\mathcal{E}(f) = \{\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3\}$. Moreover, the orbit data of the exceptional hypersurfaces as follows:

$$\Sigma_0 \to e_0 := [1 : 0 : 0 : 0] \in I_f$$

$$\Sigma_1 \to p_1 \to p_2 \to \dots p_{r-1} \to e_1 := [0 : 1 : 0 : 0] \in I_f$$

where $p_j = [1 : \frac{j(a_1-1)}{ja_1-(j-1)} : 1 : 1]$ and $f^n(\Sigma_i - I_{f^n}) \not\subset I_f$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 2, 3$. Therefore, it follows from [FS95] that f is not 1-regular on $\mathbb{P}^3$.

We define the complex manifold $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^3$ to be $\mathbb{P}^3$ blown up along the points $e_0, p_1, p_2, \dots, e_1$ successively. We denote the exceptional fibers by $E_0, P_1, P_2, .., E_1$ respectively. Then the induced map $f_X : X \dashrightarrow X$ has the following orbit data

$$f_X : \Sigma_0 \to E_0$$

$$f_X : \Sigma_1 \to P_1 \to P_2 \to \dots \to E_1.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}(f_X) = \{\Sigma_2, \Sigma_3\}$, no exceptional hypersurface is mapped into indeterminacy locus, hence f_X is 1-regular. Note that $\langle H_X, E_0, P_1, P_2, \dots, E_1 \rangle$ forms a basis for $H^{1,1}(X)$ where $H_X := \pi^* H$ and H denotes the class of a generic hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Then the linear map $f_X^* : H^{1,1}(X) \to H^{1,1}(X)$ with respect to this ordered basis is given by the integer coefficient matrix:

$$f_X^* H_X = 3H_X - 2E_0 - 2E_1 \quad f_X^* E_0 = H_X - E_1 \quad f_X^* P_1 = H_X - E_0$$

$$f_X^* P_{i+1} = P_i \text{ for } i \geq 2$$

and the characteristic polynomial of f_X^* is $\chi(x) = (x-1)(x^{r+1} - 2x^r + 1)$. Thus, the first dynamical degree (the largest root of $\chi(x)$) $\lambda_1(f) > 1$ and simple eigenvalue of f^*. By [Bay, Lemma 6.2] up to a normalization we can express the invariant class as

$$\alpha_X = H_X - E_0 - c_1 P_1 - c_2 P_2 - \dots c_r E_1$$

where $0 < c_1 < \dots < c_r$ and $\sum_{i=1}^r c_i = 1$.

Lemma 6.4. *The class α_X is not big.*

Proof. Let us assume for the moment that c_i's are rational so that α_X is a class of a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor and l be an integer such that $l\alpha_X$ is an integer class. Then for $m \geq 1$, $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(ml\alpha_X))$ can be identified with the space of homogenous polynomials of degree ml that vanish to order ml at e_0 and to order $\geq mlc_i$ at each p_i. Therefore, $h^0(X, L^{\otimes ml}) \leq Cm^2$ for large m and α_X is not big.

Now, we fix a basis $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r+2}$ for $NS_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ such that each β_i is a class of an ample divisor. Then we can choose arbitrarily small $\epsilon_j > 0$ such that the class $\alpha_X + \sum \epsilon_j \beta_j$ is a class of $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor as above and hence $vol(\alpha_X + \sum \epsilon_j \beta_j) = 0$. Thus, by continuity of $vol(\cdot)$ on $NS_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ [Laz] we conclude that $vol(\alpha_X) = 0$. $\square$

We denote the hypersurface $Y := \{[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] : x_0 - x_3 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ and its strict transform in X by $\widetilde{Y}$. Note that $\widetilde{Y}$ is obtained by blowing up $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ along the set $\{p_1, \dots, p_{r-1}, e_1\}$. Then it is straight forward that $f_X(\widetilde{Y} - I_{f_X}) = \widetilde{Y}$.

Proposition 6.5. *The restriction map* $f_X : \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{Y}$ *is induced by*

$$f_{\widetilde{Y}} = M \circ J_2 : Y \to Y$$

where

$$J_2[\eta_1 : \eta_2 : \eta_3] = [\eta_2\eta_3 : \eta_1\eta_3 : \eta_1\eta_2]$$

and

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} a_3 - 1 & (r-1)/r & a_2 \\ a_3 & -1/r & a_2 \\ a_3 & (r-1)/r & a_2 - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, we apply the same argument above to $(f_{\widetilde{Y}}, \widetilde{Y})$ to conclude that $f : \widetilde{Y} \to \widetilde{Y}$ is 1-regular. We set $H^{1,1}(\widetilde{Y}) = \langle H_{\widetilde{Y}}, Q_1, \dots, Q_{r-1}, F_1 \rangle$ where $Q_1, \dots, Q_{r-1}, F_1$ are the exceptional fibers obtained by blowing up $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ along the points $p_1, \dots, e_1$. Then we compute the pull-back map $f^*_{\widetilde{Y}}$ with respect to this basis and see that the first dynamical degrees $\lambda_1(f_{\widetilde{Y}}) = \lambda_1(f_X)$ and the invariant class up to normalization is

$$\alpha_{\widetilde{Y}} = H_{\widetilde{Y}} - c_1Q_1 - c_2Q_2 - \dots c_rF_1$$

where c_i's are as above. The class $\alpha_{\widetilde{Y}}$ is nef by [Bay, Corollary 3.6] and its volume is given by

$$\begin{aligned} vol(\alpha_{\widetilde{Y}}) &= \alpha^3_{\widetilde{Y}} \\ &= 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_i^3 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

If (f_X, X) were birationally conjugate to an automorphism (g, Z) then by [BK, Corollary 1.6], $(f_{\widetilde{Y}}, \widetilde{Y})$ would also be birationally conjugate to an automorphism of a compact Kähler surface. However, this contradicts Theorem 6.1. Hence, we conclude that (f_X, X) is not birationally conjugate to an automorphism.

References

[Bay] T. Bayraktar, *Green currents for meromorphic maps of compact Kähler manifolds*, J. Goem. Anal., 2012, DOI:10.1007/s12220-012-9315-3.

[BB] R. J. Berman and B. Berndtsson, *Moser-trudinger type inequalities for complex monge-ampre operators and aubin's "hypothse fondamentale"*, `arxiv:1109.1263v1`, *2011.*

[BBGZ] R. J. Berman, S. Boucksom, V. Guedj, and A. Zeriahi, *A variational approach to complex monge-ampere equations,* `arxiv:math/0907.4490`*, 2009.*

[BD] R. Berman and J.P. Demailly, *Regularity of plurisubharmonic upper envelopes in big cohomology classes,* `arxiv:math/0905.1246v1`*, 2009.*

[BDPP] S. Boucksom, J.-P. Demailly, M. Paun, and T. Peternell, *The pseudo-effective cone of a compact kähler manifold and varieties of negative kodaira dimension,*`arxiv:math/0405285`*, 2004.*

[BEGZ] S. Boucksom, P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, and A. Zeriahi, *Monge-Ampère equations in big cohomology classes*, Acta Math. **205** (2010), no. 2, 199–262. MR 2746347

[BK] E. Bedford and Kim. K., *Pseudo-automorphisms of 3-space: Periodicities and positive entropy in linear fractional recurrences,* `arxiv:math/arxiv:1101.1614v2` *, 2011.*

[Bou02] S. Boucksom, *On the volume of a line bundle*, Internat. J. Math. **13** (2002), no. 10, 1043–1063. MR 1945706 (2003j:32025)

[Bou04] ———, *Divisorial Zariski decompositions on compact complex manifolds*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **37** (2004), no. 1, 45–76. MR 2050205 (2005i:32018)

[BPV] W. Barth, C. Peters, and A. Van de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 4, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. MR 749574 (86c:32026)

[Bro65] H. Brolin, *Invariant sets under iteration of rational functions*, Ark. Mat. **6** (1965), 103–144 (1965). MR 0194595 (33 #2805)

[BS91] E. Bedford and J. Smillie, *Polynomial diffeomorphisms of* $\mathbf{C}^2$*: currents, equilibrium measure and hyperbolicity*, Invent. Math. **103** (1991), no. 1, 69–99. MR 1079840 (92a:32035)

[BT76] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge-Ampère equation*, Invent. Math. **37** (1976), no. 1, 1–44. MR 0445006 (56 #3351)

[BT82] ———, *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions*, Acta Math. **149** (1982), no. 1-2, 1–40. MR 674165 (84d:32024)

[CKZ] U. Cegrell, S. Kolodziej, and A. Zeriahi, *Subextension of plurisubharmonic functions with weak singularities*, Math. Z. **250** (2005), no. 1, 7–22. MR 2136402 (2005m:32064)

[DD] T.-C. Dinh and C. Dupont, *Dimension de la mesure d'équilibre d'applications méromorphes*, J. Geom. Anal. **14** (2004), no. 4, 613–627. MR 2111420 (2006k:37117)

[DDG] J. Diller, R. Dujardin, and V. Guedj, *Dynamics of meromorphic maps with small topological degree i: from cohomology to currents*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **59** (2010), 521–562.

[Dem92] J.-P. Demailly, *Regularization of closed positive currents and intersection theory*, J. Algebraic Geom. **1** (1992), no. 3, 361–409. MR 1158622 (93e:32015)

[Dem93] ———, *Monge-Ampère operators, Lelong numbers and intersection theory*, Complex analysis and geometry, Univ. Ser. Math., Plenum, New York, 1993, pp. 115–193. MR 1211880 (94k:32009)

[Dem01] ———, *Multiplier ideal sheaves and analytic methods in algebraic geometry*, School on Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry (Trieste, 2000), ICTP Lect. Notes, vol. 6, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2001, pp. 1–148. MR 1919457 (2003f:32020)

[DF] J. Diller and C. Favre, *Dynamics of bimeromorphic maps of surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **123** (2001), no. 6, 1135–1169. MR MR1867314 (2002k:32028)

[Dil] J. Diller, *Dynamics of birational maps of* $\mathbf{P}^2$, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **45** (1996), no. 3, 721–772. MR 1422105 (97k:32044)

[DP] J.-P. Demailly and M. Paun, *Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold*, Ann. of Math. (2) **159** (2004), no. 3, 1247–1274. MR 2113021 (2005i:32020)

[DPS94] J.-P. Demailly, T. Peternell, and M. Schneider, *Compact complex manifolds with numerically effective tangent bundles*, J. Algebraic Geom. **3** (1994), no. 2, 295–345. MR 1257325 (95f:32037)

[DPS01] ———, *Pseudo-effective line bundles on compact Kähler manifolds*, Internat. J. Math. **12** (2001), no. 6, 689–741. MR 1875649 (2003a:32032)

[DS04] T.-C. Dinh and N. Sibony, *Regularization of currents and entropy*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **37** (2004), no. 6, 959–971. MR MR2119243 (2006c:32045)

[DS06] ———, *Distribution des valeurs de transformations méromorphes et applications*, Comment. Math. Helv. **81** (2006), no. 1, 221–258. MR 2208805 (2007i:32017)

[DS08] ———, *Equidistribution towards the Green current for holomorphic maps*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **41** (2008), no. 2, 307–336. MR 2468484 (2009i:32041)

[FJ03] C. Favre and M. Jonsson, *Brolin's theorem for curves in two complex dimensions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **53** (2003), no. 5, 1461–1501. MR 2032940 (2004m:32065)

[FJ07] ———, *Eigenvaluations*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **40** (2007), no. 2, 309–349. MR 2339287 (2008j:37091)

[FLM] A. Freire, A. Lopes, and R. Mañé, *An invariant measure for rational maps*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. **14** (1983), no. 1, 45–62. MR 736568 (85m:58110b)

[FS95] J. E. Fornaess and N. Sibony, *Complex dynamics in higher dimension. II*, Modern methods in complex analysis (Princeton, NJ, 1992), Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 137, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995, pp. 135–182. MR 1369137 (97g:32033)

[GH] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1994, Reprint of the 1978 original. MR 1288523 (95d:14001)

[Gue] V. Guedj, *Propriétés ergodiques des applications rationelles,*`arxiv:math/0611302`*, 2006.*

[Gue03] ———, *Equidistribution towards the Green current*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **131** (2003), no. 3, 359–372. MR 2017143 (2004i:32034)

[Gue04] ———, *Decay of volumes under iteration of meromorphic mappings*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **54** (2004), no. 7, 2369–2386 (2005). MR 2139697 (2005m:32035)

[GZ05] V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, *Intrinsic capacities on compact Kähler manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **15** (2005), no. 4, 607–639. MR 2203165 (2006j:32041)

[GZ07] ———, *The weighted Monge-Ampère energy of quasiplurisubharmonic functions*, J. Funct. Anal. **250** (2007), no. 2, 442–482. MR 2352488 (2008h:32056)

[Kis] C. O. Kiselman, *Ensembles de sous-niveau et images inverses des fonctions plurisousharmoniques*, Bull. Sci. Math. **124** (2000), no. 1, 75–92. MR 1742495 (2000k:32030)

[Laz] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 48, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, Classical setting: line bundles and linear series. MR 2095471 (2005k:14001a)

[Lju] M. Ju. Ljubich, *Entropy properties of rational endomorphisms of the Riemann sphere*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **3** (1983), no. 3, 351–385. MR 741393 (85k:58049)

[Meo] Michel Meo, *Image inverse d'un courant positif fermé par une application analytique surjective*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **322** (1996), no. 12, 1141–1144. MR 1396655 (97d:32013)

[Par] R. Parra, *The jacobian cocycle and equidistribution towards the green current, arxiv:1103.4633v1.*

[RS95] A. Russakovskii and M. Sodin, *Equidistribution for sequences of polynomial mappings*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **44** (1995), no. 3, 851–882. MR 1375353 (97f:32034)

[RS97] A. Russakovskii and B. Shiffman, *Value distribution for sequences of rational mappings and complex dynamics*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **46** (1997), no. 3, 897–932. MR 1488341 (98h:32046)

[Sib] N. Sibony, *Dynamique des applications rationnelles de* $\mathbf{P}^k$, Dynamique et géométrie complexes (Lyon, 1997), Panor. Synthèses, vol. 8, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1999, pp. ix–x, xi–xii, 97–185. MR 1760844 (2001e:32026)

[SZ] B. Shiffman and S. Zelditch, *Distribution of zeros of random and quantum chaotic sections of positive line bundles*, Comm. Math. Phys. **200** (1999), no. 3, 661–683. MR 1675133 (2001j:32018)

[Zer] A. Zeriahi, *Volume and capacity of sublevel sets of a Lelong class of plurisubharmonic functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **50** (2001), no. 1, 671–703. MR 1857051 (2002f:32059)

Mathematics Department, Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, 21218 MD USA
E-mail address: `bayraktar@jhu.edu`